IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

FRIDAY, THE 5TH JANUARY 2007 / 15TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 34066 OF 2006(E)

PETITIONER:

NALINI, W/O.T.N. GIRI,
AGED 47 YEARS, THATTIL HOUSE,
NATTIKA P.O., THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.SHOBY K.FRANCIS

RESPONDENTS:

SECRETARY, R.T.A.
(REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY), THRISSUR.

GOVT. PLEADER SRI. M.A. FAYAZ.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C) NO. 34066 OF 2006

==========

DATED THIS, THE 5TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2007

J U D G M E N T

According to the petitioner, in respect of vehicle KL-7 AW 4464 an application was submitted by the permit holder for settlement of timings with proposed timings. According to her, the proposal made as above will directly clash with her timings and hence she had raised an objection as per Ext.P2. Her apprehension is that without considering her objection, if the timings are settled, it will adversely affect her right.

2. In case the decision is adverse to the petitioner's interest, she is certainly have a right to file a revision. However, the timing conference is not yet held or Ext.P2 is not finally disposed of. Petitioner can also raise her objection which will be considered.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

P.R. RAMAN,
JUDGE.

knc/-